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Importance of Surface Water Toxicity Monitoring in California

- Surface water toxicity is pervasive in California.
- Between 2001 and 2010, 50% of sites had at least one toxic water or sediment sample.
- Evidence suggests toxicity to invertebrates is primarily caused by pesticides – chemistry + TIEs.
- A growing number of 303(d) listed water bodies have been listed due to toxicity caused by pesticides.
- Water and sediment toxicity are linked to macroinvertebrate community impacts.
SPoT

1. Statewide program to measure trends in toxicity and contaminants and to link these trends to changes in land use and resource management activity.

2. Monitors 100 watersheds. Sites are located at the base of each watershed.

3. Directed design focusing on sediment contaminants and toxicity to *Hyalella azteca* (added *Chironomus dilutus* in 2015).

4. Trend detection can be accomplished at three scales: statewide, by land use, or individual sites.

5. The statewide network of sites provides context for the findings of local and regional programs.
# SPoT’s Reach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stream Pollution Trends Program</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Site Study with the Department of Pesticide Regulation</td>
<td>Determine the effectiveness of new pyrethroid pesticide label regulations (effective 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Surface Water Monitoring with the Department of Pesticide Regulation</td>
<td>Collaboration with Regions 3 and 7 to determine toxicity to alternate species and presence of emerging pesticides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanobacteria CEC Monitoring with CSUMB</td>
<td>Determine presence and potential effects of the cyanotoxin microcystin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration with Bioassessment Monitoring Programs</td>
<td>Linking SPoT toxicity and chemistry data with bioassessment data to support causal assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and Regional Water Board 303(d) Listings through the Integrated Reporting Process</td>
<td>Water Boards assess water quality monitoring data for California’s surface waters to determine if they contain pollutants at levels that exceed protective water quality standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Monitoring for the Region 3 - Cooperative Monitoring Program</td>
<td>SPoT provides data for conditional waiver of waste discharger requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Monitoring for the Region 5 - Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program</td>
<td>SPoT provides data for the monitoring of agricultural runoff in the Central Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Monitoring for Region 2 Stormwater Permits</td>
<td>SPoT data provide long-term trends for San Francisco Bay Area municipal stormwater permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regions 4, 8 and 9 Stormwater Monitoring Coalition Site Overlap</td>
<td>SPoT sites overlap with several SMC monitoring locations and provide additional data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Projects that support and inform SPoT

1. SWAMP Regional monitoring at DPR agricultural monitoring stations have provided toxicity and chemistry data on current-use and emerging pesticides.

2. Management practice effectiveness projects demonstrate the treatment of contaminants associated with toxicity.
# Current SPoT Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>50 Annually</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 Bi-Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity</td>
<td><em>Hyalella azteca</em> (All Sites), <em>Chironomus dilutus</em> (Urban Sites)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Toxicity</td>
<td><em>Hyalella azteca</em> (subset)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrethroids, OPs, OCs, PCBs, PAHs, PBDEs and Metals</td>
<td>All Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fipronil (2013)</td>
<td>Urban Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcystin (2013)</td>
<td>All Sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are beneficial uses impaired?

Toxicity Trends

Survival (% of Control)

- Not Toxic
- Toxic
- Highly Toxic
Are conditions getting better or worse?

**2008-2012**
- No Toxicity: 64%
- Some Toxicity: 23%
- Moderate Toxicity: 8%
- Highly Toxic: 5%

**2010-2014**
- No Toxicity: 68%
- Some Toxicity: 14%
- Moderate Toxicity: 11%
- Highly Toxic: 7%

Rolling averages for two periods
Are conditions getting better or worse?
What is the magnitude and extent of any problems?
Are conditions getting better or worse?
What is the magnitude and extent of any problems?
Are conditions getting better or worse?
What is the magnitude and extent of any problems?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2013-2014 Tier II Fipronil Results</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fipronil</th>
<th>Fipronil Sulfide</th>
<th>Fipronil Sulfone</th>
<th>Fipronil Desulfinyl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Detection</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Concentration</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1.267</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Concentration</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is causing the problem?

- Toxic unit calculated by dividing the measured concentration by the median lethal concentration (LC50) for *Hyalella azteca*.
- Pesticide LC50s were exceeded in 19% of the samples.
What is causing the problem?

- Comparison of two temperature toxicity results plotted against organic carbon-corrected toxic units.

![Graph showing survival (% of control) against OC-corrected pyrethroid toxic units for 23° and 15° results.]

- Non-Toxic (light blue) vs. Toxic (dark blue) for 23° results.
- Toxic (light blue) vs. Highly Toxic (dark purple) for 15° results.
Collaborations with Department of Pesticide Regulation

1. Intensive Site Study – Monitoring four stations (2 DPR and 2 SPoT) for significant decreases in concentrations of pyrethroids as a result of new label laws implemented by DPR. New labels went into effect in 2012.

   1. Results so far show no significant decrease in pyrethroid concentrations.

2. Regional Water Board Studies – Conducting toxicity testing with alternative species at DPR surface water monitoring stations (Regions 3 and 7).

   1. Results show significant toxicity at many of DPR’s agricultural monitoring stations when tested with *Hyalella azteca* or *Chironomus dilutus*.

   2. Agricultural monitoring in Region 3 through the Cooperative Monitoring Program showed no toxicity when tested with EPA 3-species.

   3. Results led to SWAMP memo on toxicity organism recommendations.
Contaminants of Emerging Concern

1. The Pesticide Treadmill (or Pesticycle) has led us through a number of chemical classes:

   1. Organochlorines (DDT) > Organophosphates (chlorpyrifos) > Pyrethroids (bifenthrin) > Phenylpyrazoles (fipronil) > Neonicotinoids (imidacloprid)

2. Lists of CECs from SFEI and SCCWRP include pyrethroids and fipronil, but detections of imidacloprid are on the rise.

3. Continued collaboration with DPR’s Surface Water Monitoring will enable SPoT to stay ahead of the Pesticycle and detect emerging pesticides before significant impacts occur.

   1. Additional funding will enable the SPoT Program to implement a water monitoring component that will screen DPR stations for toxicity to *Hyalella azteca* and *Chironomus dilutus*.

   2. DPR connection will also aid the State Board Stormwater Strategy to Establish Statewide Framework for Urban Pesticide Reduction.
Collaboration with Bioassessment Programs

- Linking laboratory toxicity results and field contamination with impacts to benthic macroinvertebrates will support causal assessments. Ultimately formulate hypotheses to test.
Summary

- SPoT monitors trends in stream pollution by measuring sediment toxicity and chemistry.

- Current SPoT results indicate significant increasing statewide trends of pyrethroids, metals, and PBDEs.

- Collaborations with DPR have begun to monitor urban pyrethroids, but have also detected water toxicity in DPRs surface water samples.
  - SPoT proposes to expand this study into a statewide water analysis component.

- Collaboration with the Bioassessment Programs should support causal assessment and eventually determine if there are links between contamination and ecological effects.

- Collaboration with Stormwater Programs
Thank you.

Questions?

bmphillips@ucdavis.edu

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/swamp/spot/

www.granitecanyon.org