#### Microbial Source Identification Study for Buccaneer Beach and Loma Alta Creek

Justin Gamble – City of Oceanside Alexander Schriewer – Weston Solutions

Beach Water Quality Workgroup Meeting 15. November 2017





#### Introduction

- Project Purpose:
  - Improve upon existing bacteria management (UV system) at Buccaneer Beach
  - Guide watershed management and future investigation strategy
  - Inform actions using Microbial Source Identification results
- Goals:
  - Complete a Microbial Source Identification study per the CA Source ID Manual (SCCWRP 2013)
  - Short-term: understand likely bacteria sources, modes of transport, conditions causing contamination
  - Long-term: reduce bacteria levels at Buccaneer Beach & Loma Alta Creek

# Loma Alta Slough

- Section 303(d) List Buccaneer Beach and Loma Alta Slough (indicator bacteria)
- REC-1 Beneficial Use; Buccaneer Beach and Loma Alta Slough
- Water from the slough may negatively impact water quality at Buccaneer Beach
- Sand Berm forms between the slough and beach during summer months
- UV system built in 2006
  - Treats water from slough and bypasses the sand berm
- Historic and on-going water quality monitoring in the watershed
- AB411 monitoring at the beach during summer months

### **Grant Funding**



- State Water Resources Control Board Clean Beaches
  - Prop 50 Coastal Nonpoint Source Pollution Control
  - Application submitted by City of Oceanside Water Utilities Department in early 2015
  - Agreement executed December 2015
- Grant Agreement executed December 2015
  - Total Budget: \$393,360
  - SWRCB Funds: \$348,240
  - Local In-kind Match (City of Oceanside): \$45,120

#### **Roles & Responsibilities**

#### • State Water Board

- Oversight of grant agreement & scope of work
- Review and approval of invoices and reports
- City of Oceanside (Water Utilities Department)
  - Grantee & project management, progress reporting
  - Coordination of Technical Advisory Committee
  - Source investigations Sewers & Storm Drains
- Weston Solutions
  - Technical consultant
  - Develop work plans and study design
  - MST fieldwork, lab processing, data analysis, technical reporting

### Outline

- Background
- Project Components
  - Watershed
  - Study Design
  - Lab Verification Results
  - Monitoring Results
  - Recommendations
- Next Steps







#### Buccaneer Creek and Loma Alta Watershed

#### Loma Alta Creek



#### Loma Alta Creek



#### Loma Alta Creek



Hypotheses

# Hypotheses

Primary Hypotheses – Impacts from Potential <u>Human</u> Sources

- H1: Human fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at <u>Buccaneer Beach during wet season</u> storm flows from Loma Alta Creek.
- H2: Human sources contribute to fecal indicator bacteria levels at <u>Buccaneer Beach</u> during <u>dry periods</u> when <u>Loma Alta Creek is flowing</u> to the ocean.
- H<sub>3</sub>: Human fecal contamination is <u>present in the Loma Alta Creek during</u> <u>dry weather</u> and the creek is a contributing source of fecal contamination to the Slough.

# Hypotheses

#### Secondary Hypotheses – Impacts from Potential <u>Non-Human</u> Sources

H4a: Bird fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at Buccaneer Beach during wet season storm flows from Loma Alta Creek.
H4b: Dog fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at Buccaneer Beach during wet season storm flows from Loma Alta Creek.

- H5a: Bird fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at Buccaneer Beach during dry periods when Loma Alta Creek is flowing to the ocean.
- H5b: Dog fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at Buccaneer Beach during dry periods when Loma Alta Creek is flowing to the ocean.
- H6a: Bird fecal contamination is present in Loma Alta Creek and Loma Alta Slough during dry weather
- H6b: Dog fecal contamination is present in Loma Alta Creek and Loma Alta Slough during dry weather

Study Design

### Study Design – Power Analysis

#### Utilized existing beach water quality data

- More variability observed when berm open
- Minimal variability observed when berm closed
- Modeled 4 scenarios
- Selected a "weighted" study design (3:1)
- Total N of 40
  - 20 events @ two stations
  - 15 berm open; 5 berm closed



# Study Design Sampling Events

#### Collected Sample Size Summary, Presented by Weather and Berm Conditions

Station Location	Number of Stations	Number of Samples							
D	ry Weather – Berm Closed (5 event	s)							
Baseline Watershed	2	10							
Slough	2	10							
Buccaneer Beach	2	10							
Comparison Station	1	5							
Total	7	35							
Dry Weather — Berm Open (15 events)									
Baseline Watershed	2	30							
Slough	2	30							
Buccaneer Beach	2	30							
Comparison Station	1	15							
MS4 <sup>1</sup>	4	20							
Extended Watershed <sup>1</sup>	5	25							
Total	16	150							
	Wet Weather (3 events)								
Baseline Watershed	2	6							
Slough	2	6							
Buccaneer Beach	2	6 (7)²							
Comparison Station	1	3							
MS4	4	12							
Extended Watershed	5	15							
Total	16	48 (49)²							
<sup>1</sup> stations sampled during 5 of the a sampled right before berm was open	15 "berm open" sampling events <sup>2</sup> incl ned by wet weather flow on 11/21/2016	uding additional sample, which was							

#### 23 Events:

- 20 dry
- 3 wet

#### 20 Dry Events:

- 5 closed berm
- 15 open berm

#### <u>16 Sites:</u>

- 7 Main Sites
   -> always sampled
- 9 Upstream LA Creek Sites
   -> sampled for 3 wet and 5 open berm events

### Study Design – Loma Alta Creek



### Study Design - Loma Alta Slough







Site LA-CON (3/3/2017)



Loma Alta Creek Facing Downstream from LA-CON (8/31/2018)

### Study Design - Analytes

#### Fecal Indicator Bacteria (FIB)

- Enterococcus spp.
- E. coli
- Total Coliform

#### Source identification markers\*

- Human-associated: HF183 (HF183F | BacR287 | BacP234MGB)
- Gull/Seabird-associated: Gull2
- Canine-associated: later replaced by:

Gull2 (Gull2F | Gull2R | Gull2P) DogBact (DF475F | BAc708R | DogBactP)

by: DG<sub>37</sub> (DG<sub>37</sub>F|DG<sub>37</sub>R|DG<sub>37</sub>P)

\* As suggested by California MST Manual: SCCWRP - The California Microbial Source Identification Manual: A Tiered Approach to Identifying Fecal Pollution Sources to Beaches. Technical Report 804 – December 2013.

#### Lab Verification

#### Lab Verification - Performance

#### Sensitivity, Specificity, and Frequency of Detection

	Detect	ion per qPC	R Marker (N	detected/N al	l samples)
Sample	HF183	Gull2	DogBact	DG37	Enterococci
Human (Sewage)	5/5	0/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
Bird (feces)	o/6	5/6	2/6	0/5	6/6
Dog (feces)	1/5	2/5	1/5	5/5	5/5
Sensitivity	100%	83%	20%	100%	N/A
Specificity	91%	80%	36%	50%	N/A

#### Lab Verification - Concentrations

#### Table. Concentrations of Source Identification Markers in Source Material

	Filtered Volume	Host-Assoc	ated Marker Con	centrations	
Sample ID	(mL) or Extracted Mass (wet g)	Human (HF183)	Gull (Gull2)	Dog (DG37)	Units
Sewage 1 (1:10)	100	63,526,184	(183)	29,438	copies per 100 mL
Sewage 2 (1:10)	100	78,921,079	(194)	58,919	copies per 100 mL
Sewage 3 (1:10)	100	78,331,590	(183)	66,506	copies per 100 mL
Sewage 4 (1:10)	100	84,465,398	(194)	36,883	copies per 100 mL
Sewage 5 (1:10)	100	58,382,124	(194)	28,984	copies per 100 mL
Gull-01	0.50	(68)	3,354,637,197	(231)	copies /wet g
Gull-02	0.50	(68)	3,495,184,179	(231)	copies /wet g
Gull-03	0.50	(70)	1,536,037,197	(238)	copies /wet g
Duck-01	0.50	(68)	3,238	(231)	copies /wet g
Duck-02	0.50	(66)	(366)	(224)	copies /wet g
Cormorant-01	0.32	(103)	41,480	(352)	copies /wet g
Dog-01	0.50	947	28,130	73,995,556	copies /wet g
Dog-o2	0.40	119*	657*	29,339,452	copies /wet g
Dog-o3	0.50	(77)	(427)	1,251	copies /wet g
Dog-04	0.40	(96)	(534)	6,929	copies /wet g
Dog-05	0.50	(82)	9,460,715	2,226,834	copies /wet g

In parentheses: non-detect replacement concentrations, \* amplification below detection limit (BDL)

# Monitoring Results

#### Results - Dry Weather · MST



29

### Results - Dry Weather · MST

Site ID	N	Geomean S Concent	ource Identifica tration (copies/2	ition Marker 100 mL) <sup>A</sup>	N Non-c	letects (Per	cent)				
		HF183	Gull2	DG37	HF183	Gull2	DG37				
	Open Berm										
BUCBEACH-NREF	13	44	167	112	7 (54)	5 (38)	13 (100)				
BUCBEACH-SREF	2	26	53	121	2 (100)	2 (100)	1 (50)				
BUCBEACH-S	15	81	280	112	6 (40)	5 (33)	15 (100)				
BUCBEACH	15	84	643	113	6 (40)	2 (13)	13 (87)				
LA-PSB	15	536	1,168	117	2 (13)	2 (13)	13 (87)				
LA-PCH	15	863	217	115	o (o)	5 (33)	13 (87)				
LA-053	15	78	62	127	10 (67)	12 (80)	11 (73)				
LA-CON	15	142	145	118	6 (40)	7 (47)	13 (87)				
			Closed Berr	n							
BUCBEACH-NREF	1	53	53	112	o (o)	1 (100)	1 (100)				
BUCBEACH-SREF	4	39	53	112	3 (75)	4 (100)	4 (100)				
BUCBEACH-S	5	33	53	209	3 (60)	5 (100)	4 (80)				
BUCBEACH	5	26	53	166	5 (100)	5 (100)	3 (60)				
LA-PSB	5	183	146	123	2 (40)	2 (40)	4 (80)				
LA-PCH	5	170	58	139	2 (40)	4 (80)	3 (60)				
LA-053	5	117	54	472	4 (80)	4 (80)	2 (40)				
LA-CON	5	60	53	117	3 (60)	5 (100)	4 (80)				

### Results - Dry Weather · HF183

Site ID	N	Geomean S Concent	ource Identifica tration (copies/:	ition Marker 100 mL) <sup>A</sup>	N Non-c	letects (Per	cent)
		HF183	Gull2	DG37	HF183	Gull2	DG37
			Open Bern	า			
BUCBEACH-NREF	13	44	167	112	7 (54)	5 (38)	13 (100)
BUCBEACH-SREF	2	26	53	121	2 (100)	2 (100)	1(50)
BUCBEACH-S	15	81	280	112	6 (40)	5 (33)	15 (100)
BUCBEACH	15	84	643	113	6 (40)	2 (13)	13 (87)
LA-PSB	15	536	1,168	117	2 (13)	2 (13)	13 (87)
LA-PCH	15	863	217	115	o (o)	5 (33)	13 (87)
LA-053	15	78	62	127	10 (67)	12 (80)	11 (73)
LA-CON	15	142	145	118	6 (40)	7 (47)	13 (87)
			Closed Berr	n			
BUCBEACH-NREF	1	53	53	112	o (o)	1 (100)	1 (100)
BUCBEACH-SREF	4	39	53	112	3 (75)	4 (100)	4 (100)
BUCBEACH-S	5	33	53	209	3 (60)	5 (100)	4 (80)
BUCBEACH	5	26	53	166	5 (100)	5 (100)	3 (60)
LA-PSB	5	183	146	123	2 (40)	2 (40)	4 (80)
LA-PCH	5	170	58	139	2 (40)	4 (80)	3 (60)
LA-053	5	117	54	472	4 (80)	4 (80)	2 (40)
LA-CON	5	60	53	117	3 (60)	5 (100)	4 (80)

### Results - Dry Weather · Gull2

Site ID	N	Geomean S Concent	ource Identifica tration (copies/:	ation Marker 100 mL) <sup>A</sup>	N Non-c	letects (Per	cent)
		HF183	Gull2	DG37	HF183	Gull2	DG37
			Open Bern	n			-
BUCBEACH-NREF	13	44	167	112	7 (54)	5 (38)	13 (100)
BUCBEACH-SREF	2	26	53	121	2 (100)	2 (100)	1 (50)
BUCBEACH-S	15	81	280	112	6 (40)	5 (33)	15 (100)
BUCBEACH	15	84	643	113	6 (40)	2 (13)	13 (87)
LA-PSB	15	536	1,168	117	2 (13)	2 (13)	13 (87)
LA-PCH	15	863	217	115	o (o)	5 (33)	13 (87)
LA-053	15	78	62	127	10 (67)	12 (80)	11 (73)
LA-CON	15	142	145	118	6 (40)	7 (47)	13 (87)
			Closed Beri	n			
BUCBEACH-NREF	1	53	53	112	o (o)	1 (100)	1 (100)
BUCBEACH-SREF	4	39	53	112	3 (75)	4 (100)	4 (100)
BUCBEACH-S	5	33	53	209	3 (60)	5 (100)	4 (80)
BUCBEACH	5	26	53	166	5 (100)	5 (100)	3 (60)
LA-PSB	5	183	146	123	2 (40)	2 (40)	4 (80)
LA-PCH	5	170	58	139	2 (40)	4 (80)	3 (60)
LA-053	5	117	54	472	4 (80)	4 (80)	2 (40)
LA-CON	5	60	53	117	3 (60)	5 (100)	4 (80)

## Results - Dry Weather · DG37

Site ID	N	Geomean S Concent	ource Identifica tration (copies/:	ation Marker 100 mL) <sup>A</sup>	N Non-c	letects (Perc	ent)
		HF183	Gull2	DG37	HF183	Gull2	DG37
			Open Bern	n			
BUCBEACH-NREF	13	44	167	112	7 (54)	5 (38)	13 (100)
BUCBEACH-SREF	2	26	53	121	2 (100)	2 (100)	1(50)
BUCBEACH-S	15	81	280	112	6 (40)	5 (33)	15 (100)
BUCBEACH	15	84	643	113	6 (40)	2 (13)	13 (87)
LA-PSB	15	536	1,168	117	2 (13)	2 (13)	13 (87)
LA-PCH	15	863	217	115	o (o)	5 (33)	13 (87)
LA-053	15	78	62	127	10 (67)	12 (80)	11 (73)
LA-CON	15	142	145	118	6 (40)	7 (47)	13 (87)
			Closed Berr	n			
BUCBEACH-NREF	1	53	53	112	o (o)	1 (100)	1 (100)
BUCBEACH-SREF	4	39	53	112	3 (75)	4 (100)	4 (100)
BUCBEACH-S	5	33	53	209	3 (60)	5 (100)	4 (80)
BUCBEACH	5	26	53	166	5 (100)	5 (100)	3 (60)
LA-PSB	5	183	146	123	2 (40)	2 (40)	4 (80)
LA-PCH	5	170	58	139	2 (40)	4 (80)	3 (60)
LA-053	5	117	54	472	4 (80)	4 (80)	2 (40)
LA-CON	5	60	53	117	3 (60)	5 (100)	4 (80)

#### Results - Open vs Closed Berm

Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Comparing Open-Berm to Closed-Berm Conditions for BUCBEACH and BUCBEACH-S Results

Parameter	Z	P-value (two sided)
Enterococcus	-2.8370	0.0046
E. coli	-3.8494	0.0001
Total Coliform	-3.9097	<0.0001
HF183	-2.8337	0.0046
Gull	-3.7217	0.0002
DG37	1.7093	0.0874

Bold: significant p-values

=> All parameters but DG<sub>37</sub> are significantly increased at Buccaneer Beach when the berm is open compared to when it is closed.

#### **Results - Correlations**

Significant (p-value < 0.05) Spearman Rank Order Correlations for Dry Weather Samples

Parameter A	Parameter B	Ν	Spearman Rho	P-Value
	E.coli	185	0.222	0.0024
HF183	Gull2	185	0.477	<0.0001
	Total Coliform	185	0.171	0.0199
0637	Enterococcus	185	0.157	0.0331
Entorococcus	E. coli	185	0.808	<0.0001
Enterococcus	Total Coliform	185	0.803	<0.0001
E. coli	Total Coliform	185	0.785	<0.0001

### Results - Wet Weather MST

Site ID	N	Average Source Identification Marker Concentration (copies/100 mL) <sup>A</sup>				N Non-detects		
		HF183	Gull2	DG37	HF183	Gull2	DG37	
<b>BUCBEACH-NREF</b>	3	2,142	1,405	231	1	1	2	
BUCBEACH-S	3	158	1,297	1,963	0	0	0	
BUCBEACH	3	650	3,478	112	0	0	3	
LA-PSB	3	1,963	5,573	272	0	0	1	
LA-PCH	3	4,125	833	136	0	1	1	
LA-053	3	10,113	30,134	206	0	0	0	
LA-CON	3	4,346	4,770	551	0	0	0	
LA-054	3	2,018	1,972	673	0	0	0	
LA-DS-GAR	3	4,013	1,000	386	0	0	0	
LA-GAR	3	1,857	1,428	623	0	1	0	
LA-OS	3	2,566	55	121	0	2	2	
LA-029	3	1,180	4,612	268	0	1	0	
LA-RDO-SPR	3	3,141	197	161	0	1	0	
LA-041	3	1,159	62	260	0	2	1	
LA-046	3	4,207	60	872	0	2	0	
LA-048	3	1,068	61	1,013	0	1	1	

<sup>A</sup>Non-detect values were assigned the value the highest cycle number would yield in accordance with master standard curves.

#### => The HF183 marker is prevalent throughout the watershed during wet weather

#### Results – Buccaneer Beach · HF183



#### Results – Buccaneer Beach · ENT



#### Results - Loma Alta Creek · MST

Site ID	N	Geomean(A) or Average (B) Source Identification Marker			N Non-detects		
		HF183	Gull2	DG37	HF183	Gull2	DG37
		(A) Dry	Weather - Open Be	rm			
LA-054	5	33	55	112	3	4	5
LA-DS-GAR	5	72	62	119	3	4	4
LA-GAR	5	71	53	116	3	5	4
LA-OS	5	26	55	126	5	4	4
LA-029	5	826	53	125	1	5	3
LA-RDO-SPR	5	43	53	130	3	5	3
LA-041	5	40	53	160	3	5	4
LA-046	5	37	53	126	4	5	3
LA-048	5	117	53	112	3	5	5
		(B) Wet	Weather – Open Be	rm			
LA-054	3	2,018	1,972	673	0	0	0
LA-DS-GAR	3	4,013	1,000	386	0	0	0
LA-GAR	3	1,857	1,428	623	0	1	0
LA-OS	3	2,566	55	121	0	2	2
LA-029	3	1,180	4,612	268	0	1	0
LA-RDO-SPR	3	3,141	197	161	0	1	0
LA-041	3	1,159	62	260	0	2	1
LA-046	3	4,207	60	872	0	2	0
LA-048	3	1,068	61	1,013	0	1	1

### Results - Loma Alta Creek · HF183

Site ID	N	Geomean(A) or Average (B) Source Identification Marker Concentration (copies/100 mL) <sup>A</sup>			N	Non-detects	5
		HF183	Gull2	DG37	HF183	Gull2	DG37
		(A) Dry	Weather - Open Be	rm			
LA-054	5	33	55	112	3	4	5
LA-DS-GAR	5	72	62	119	3	4	4
LA-GAR	5	71	53	116	3	5	4
LA-OS	5	26	55	126	5	4	4
LA-029	5	826	53	125	1	5	3
LA-RDO-SPR	5	43	53	130	3	5	3
LA-041	5	40	53	160	3	5	4
LA-046	5	37	53	126	4	5	3
LA-048	5	117	53	112	3	5	5
		(B) Wet	Weather – Open Be	rm			
LA-054	3	2,018	1,972	673	0	0	0
LA-DS-GAR	3	4,013	1,000	386	0	0	0
LA-GAR	3	1,857	1,428	623	0	1	0
LA-OS	3	2,566	55	121	0	2	2
LA-029	3	1,180	4,612	268	0	1	0
LA-RDO-SPR	3	3,141	197	161	0	1	0
LA-041	3	1,159	62	260	0	2	1
LA-046	3	4,207	60	872	0	2	0
LA-048	3	1,068	61	1,013	0	1	1

#### Results - Loma Alta Creek · Gull2

Site ID	N	Geomean(A) or Average (B) Source Identification Marker Concentration (conies/100 mL) <sup>A</sup>			N Non-detects			
		HF183	Gull2	DG37	HF183	Gull2	DG37	
(A) Dry Weather - Open Berm								
LA-054	5	33	55	112	3	4	5	
LA-DS-GAR	5	72	62	119	3	4	4	
LA-GAR	5	71	53	116	3	5	4	
LA-OS	5	26	55	126	5	4	4	
LA-029	5	826	53	125	1	5	3	
LA-RDO-SPR	5	43	53	130	3	5	3	
LA-041	5	40	53	160	3	5	4	
LA-046	5	37	53	126	4	5	3	
LA-048	5	117	53	112	3	5	5	
(B) Wet Weather – Open Berm								
LA-054	3	2,018	1,972	673	0	0	0	
LA-DS-GAR	3	4,013	1,000	386	0	0	0	
LA-GAR	3	1,857	1,428	623	0	1	0	
LA-OS	3	2,566	55	121	0	2	2	
LA-029	3	1,180	4,612	268	0	1	0	
LA-RDO-SPR	3	3,141	197	161	0	1	0	
LA-041	3	1,159	62	260	0	2	1	
LA-046	3	4,207	60	872	0	2	0	
LA-048	3	1,068	61	1,013	0	1	1	

#### Results – Low Detection Limits

California MST Manual vs. Optimized Protocol

- Tested 36 beach samples
  - BUCBEACH & BUCBEACH-S
  - 12 events (December 2016 April 2017)
  - Dry weather and wet weather

	CA MST Manual	Optimized	
ND	11	2	
Signal Below detection limit	17	3	
Detected	8	31	
Median concentration	86 copies/100 mL	92 copies/100 mL	
LOD:	~ 200 copies/ 100 mL	~20 copies/100 mL	

#### => Concentrations matter!

### **Results-Hypotheses**

#### Primary Hypotheses – Impacts from Potential Human Sources

H1: Human fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at <u>Buccaneer Beach during wet season</u> storm flows from Loma Alta Creek.

H2: Human sources contribute to fecal indicator bacteria levels at <u>Buccaneer Beach</u> during <u>dry periods</u> when <u>Loma Alta Creek is flowing</u> to the ocean.

H<sub>3</sub>: Human fecal contamination is <u>present in the Loma Alta Creek during</u> <u>dry weather</u> and the creek is a contributing source of fecal contamination to the Slough.

### **Results-Hypotheses**

#### Secondary Hypotheses – Impacts from Potential <u>Non-Human</u> Sources

H4a: Bird fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at Buccaneer Beach during wet season storm flows from Loma Alta Creek.
H4b: Dog fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at Buccaneer Beach during wet season storm flows from Loma Alta Creek.

- H5a: Bird fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at Buccaneer Beach during dry periods when Loma Alta Creek is flowing to the ocean.
- H5b: Dog fecal contamination contributes to fecal indicator bacteria levels at Buccaneer Beach during dry periods when Loma Alta Creek is flowing to the ocean.



- H6a: Bird fecal contamination is present in Loma Alta Creek and Loma Alta Slough during dry weather
- H6b: Dog fecal contamination is present in Loma Alta Creek and Loma Alta Slough during dry weather

#### Recommendations

1

2

The study results have shown that a closed berm is effective in maintaining beach water quality at Buccaneer Beach based on fecal indicator and source identification marker measurements.

Recommendations:

- Continue the best management practice of maintaining the closed berm and treating slough water before it enters the ocean, and potentially increase the use of this BMP.
- Investigate the feasibility of increasing usage of the City's ultraviolet treatment system at the slough to treat and recirculate contaminated creek water.
- The prevalence of persistently high human-associated source identification marker concentrations demonstrate that waters of Loma Alta Slough are chronically exposed to human fecal contamination. *Recommendations:* 
  - Investigate the sewer infrastructure in the proximity of the Slough to identify potential leaks.
  - Investigate the sewer infrastructure at La Salina Wastewater Treatment Plant and laterals from the park bathrooms for potential leaks. Investigate potential transient encampments impacts near the Slough.

#### Recommendations

- The study results indicate a second high priority area of the watershed is the area of the mobile home estates (Sites LA-CON and LA-053), which contributes fecal contamination to the Slough during dry and wet weather.
  - Recommendations:
  - Investigate the private sewer system of the Mobile Home Estates.
  - Identify the origins of dry weather flows (e.g., ground water dewatering, over-irrigation, sanitary sewer exfiltration) in the LA-053 drainage area. Investigate potential transient encampment impacts upstream of LA-CON.
- 4

3

The watershed upstream of LA-CON has not been a priority of this study; however, relatively high human marker concentrations have been found throughout the watershed particularly at the outfalls, with site LA-029 being the highest priority.

#### Recommendation:

• Conduct upstream source investigation monitoring for sources of human fecal contamination, particularly at LA-029.

### Next Steps

# Addressing Impacts: UV Facility & Slough Management

- Decommissioning of La Salina Wastewater Plant (2020 onward)
- Consideration of UV modifications
  - Recirculation
  - Aeration
  - Increased capacity
- "Natural" cleansing Wetlands
  - Future Grant wetland expansion concept
  - Feasibility study (expected to begin late 2018)







#### Loma Alta Wetlands - Eastern Segment Enhancement Concept

Potential pedestrian access and wildlife viewing

280.8

she she



#### Addressing Sources: Upstream Investigations

- Early 2018:
  - GIS modeling of sanitary sewer exfiltration probability
    - Depth, groundwater elevation, material, Creek/storm drain proximity
  - Identify subareas for sewer CCTV
  - Pipeline Assessment Certification Program (PACP)
    - All Vitrified Clay Pipes surveyed within last two years
    - Prioritize infrastructure by Pipe Rating, local MST results



From Lee at al. (2015)



#### PACP data for vitrified clay pipes near tributary storm drains at Loma Alta Creek



#### Addressing Sources: Upstream Investigations

- Summer 2018 and beyond (scope contingent on funding):
  - Focused investigations by tributary
    - LA-029, LA-CON, LA-053
    - Additional HF183 sampling where justified
    - CCTV storm drain inspection program contracting in progress
  - Inspect park public bathroom & plant sewer infrastructure surrounding Loma Alta Slough
  - Explore utility of alternative source tracking markers
    - Rhodamine WT tracing in storm drains (Sercu et al. 2011)
    - Wastewater markers (sucralose, acesulfame, caffeine)
  - Assessment of homelessness in impacted tributaries

#### "Phase 2" Estimated Schedule



#### Acknowledgements

- Daron Pedroja *Grant Manager* (SWRCB)
- Mark Ruderman Grant Manager (SWRCB)
- Andrew Tsiu Former Grant Manager (SWRCB)
- City of Oceanside Sewer & Public Works
- Technical Advisory Committee
  - Patricia Holden, Ph.D. (UCSB)
  - Joshua Steele, Ph.D. (SCCWRP)
  - Cynthia Gorham (San Diego RWQCB)
  - Meredith Myers, Ph.D (San Diego Coastkeeper)

### ThankYou

#### Questions?

